1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT	COURT
IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT	COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 15-0416 WHA Plaintiff, SCHEDULING ORDER v. FOR CRIMINAL CASE

ENZO CESTONI AND CLAUDIO MACIEL,

Defendant.

Pursuant to Local Rule 17.1-1, this order sets a schedule for the case to promote a fair and expeditious trial and pretrial of this case.

- The case against Enzo Cestoni will be tried to a jury commencing at 7:30 A.M. ON APRIL 4, 2016. Trial will not be held on APRIL 8, 2016. The case against Claudio Maciel will be tried to a jury commencing at 7:30 A.M. ON APRIL 11, 2016. The final pretrial conference for both defendants will be at 2:00 P.M. ON MARCH 30, 2016. The remainder of this order sets the schedule leading up to these dates.
- 2. All discovery, government expert disclosures, and notice of intent to introduce any FRE 404(b) evidence must be produced by MARCH 9, 2016.
- 3. Rule 16(a)(1)(F) requires the government to allow inspection and copying of tests and experiments upon a defendant's request. Subpart (G) further requires the government to give the defense a written summary of opinion testimony to be used in its case-in-chief upon a defendant's request. This must include not only the opinions to be offered but the "bases and reasons for those opinions" and the qualifications of the experts. Reciprocally, if a defendant

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

requests either of the foregoing, the defendant is obligated to provide the same items to the government upon request. Although the rule does not establish any deadlines for such disclosures, the Advisory Committee Notes state that the disclosures are intended to provide the opponent with a fair opportunity to test expert trial testimony, to minimize surprise, and to reduce the need for continuances (see, e.g., the 1993 Amendment notes). The note refers to the need for a fair opportunity to investigate the "merits" of the testimony as well as the "qualifications" of the expert. Rule 16(d)(2) authorizes preclusion for a failure to comply, among other remedies.

In order to achieve the objectives of the rule, it is necessary that sufficient lead time be built into the schedule while recognizing the competing demands on counsel. In order to trigger the disclosure obligations, defense counsel must make a written request within the meaning of Rule 16. This must be done within FOURTEEN CALENDAR DAYS of the date of this order so that the government will be on notice of the need to disclose. If a timely request is made, then, as stated above, the government must supply all required expert reports and summaries at least 21 CALENDAR DAYS before the final pretrial conference and, upon government request, the defense must supply its expert reports and summaries at least SEVEN CALENDAR DAYS before the final pretrial conference. This will usually allow adequate time to review the material and to bring motions in limine as well as to prepare for the trial itself.

- 4. Please remember that Rule 16(a)(1)(G) and Rule 16(b)(1)(C) require disclosure not only of the opinions but also of "the bases and reasons for those opinions." as well as the witness's qualifications. Supplemental disclosures at the eleventh hour cannot automatically cure earlier deficient disclosures. Rule 16(d)(2) authorizes several alternatives, including preclusion, for inadequate or late or non-existent disclosures.
- 5. As for *non-expert* disclosures, Rule 16 requires both the government and the defense to disclose certain materials. Again, Rule 16(d)(2) authorizes preclusion as a sanction. All counsel must comply with Rule 16, Local Rule 16-1 and the *Brady* rule. Both sides must make their disclosures sufficiently in advance of the final pretrial conference so that all counsel

will be able to prepare to meet the evidence and to raise a	any issues	pertaining	to it at th	ne final
pretrial conference.				

For the final pretrial conference, please comply with Local Rule 17.1-1. All 6. government exhibits should be identified by trial exhibit number for the case-in-chief in an exhibit list submitted for the conference. All defense exhibits for its case-in-chief should likewise be identified by trial exhibit number in an exhibit list, at least to the extent the exhibits are required to be disclosed under Rule 16(b). All motions in limine must be served and filed at least FOURTEEN DAYS before the conference with oppositions and replies filed as per Local Rule 47-2.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 19, 2016.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE